

April 4, 1994 Revised: Jan 24, 1995

LBL-35452
UCB-PTH-94/08

q – deformed Dirac Monopole With Arbitrary Charge

Chong-Sun Chu, Pei-Ming Ho and Harold Steinacker*

*Department of Physics
University of California
and
Theoretical Physics Group
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720*

Abstract

We construct the deformed Dirac monopole on the quantum sphere for arbitrary charge using two different methods and show that it is a quantum principal bundle in the sense of Brzezinski and Majid. We also give a connection and calculate the analog of its Chern number by integrating the curvature over S_q^2 .

*email: hsteinac@physics.berkeley.edu

1 Introduction

A major step towards a q – deformed gauge theory is to find a suitable concept of ”quantum” fiber bundles. Recently some versions of quantum bundles have been proposed [1, 3, 4], where both the base space and the fiber are quantum spaces. While all of them have their particular advantages, only [1] also give a detailed concept of a connection on a principal fiber bundle. As examples, they construct explicitly the q – deformed Dirac Monopole for charge 1 and 2, which are just $SU_q(2)$ resp. $SO_q(3)$. However, this construction is only formal for charge 1 and cannot be generalized to higher charges.

In this paper, we use the definition of [1] and give 2 explicit constructions of the deformed Dirac Monopole $P^{(n)}$ for arbitrary ”integer” charge $[n]$ with connection in the sense of [1], both with universal and a general calculus inherited from the 3-D calculus on $SU_q(2)$. We first find $P^{(n)}$ as a certain subalgebra of $SU_q(2)$, using a gradation on $SU_q(2)$. In the second approach, $P^{(2n)}$ is constructed by ”glueing together”, in the classical spirit, 2 trivial bundles. We give a condition when this can be done in general. It is shown that the 2 constructions agree. While the bundles are defined for any integer n , we find local trivializations for even n only; for odd n , they would only be formal. Thus we provide examples of nontrivial quantum principal bundles which are not quantum groups over some homogeneous space. In the 3-D calculus, analogs of Chern numbers are obtained by integrating the curvature two - form over the base S_q^2 .

While the bundles are equipped with a star - structure, the trivializations respect this star - structure only in the classical limit (as in [1]). This appears to be a rather general feature of this approach to quantum bundles. While we take this as a feature rather than as a problem, it seems that more examples and results are needed to find the most fruitful definitions. Also, the connection one - forms are star - maps only in the classical limit. avoided if one wants to consider general gauge transformations.

2 The Dirac Monopole as a Subalgebra of $SU_q(2)$

2.1 Definition of quantum bundles

Before giving the construction of the deformed monopole bundle, let us summarize the definition and main concepts of quantum principal bundles in the sense of [1], which we will use in this paper:

Definition 2.1 [1, Def 4.1] $P = P(B, A)$ is a quantum principal bundle (short: QPB) with universal differential calculus, structure quantum group A and base B if

1. A is a Hopf algebra
2. (P, Δ_R) is a right A -comodule algebra; write $\Delta_R(p) = p^1 \otimes p^2 \in P \otimes A$
3. $B = P^A = \{u \in P : \Delta_R u = u \otimes 1\}$
4. $(\cdot \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_R) : P \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes A$ is a surjection (freeness condition)
5. $\ker \sim = \Gamma_{hor}$ (exactness condition for the differential envelope)

where horizontal forms Γ_{hor} are defined by

$$\Gamma_{hor} = P(\Gamma_B)P \subseteq \Gamma_P \quad (1)$$

and satisfy $\sim(\Gamma_{hor}) = 0$ identically. The left P -module map $\sim$ is defined as

$$\sim = (\cdot \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_R)|_{P^2} : \Gamma_P \rightarrow P \otimes \ker \epsilon. \quad (2)$$

In the dual picture, it generates the fundamental (vertical) vector fields on the bundle. We will use the same symbol $\sim$ for the extended map in condition 4. .

A connection on a quantum principal bundle is an assignment of a left P -submodule $\Gamma_{ver} \subseteq \Gamma_P$ such that:

1. $\Gamma_P = \Gamma_{hor} \oplus \Gamma_{ver}$,
2. projection $\Pi : \Gamma_P \rightarrow \Gamma_{ver}$ is right invariant i.e.

$$\Delta_R \Pi = (\Pi \otimes id) \Delta_R, \quad (3)$$

A connection in P is characterized by a right – invariant left P – module map $\sigma : P \otimes \ker \epsilon \rightarrow \Gamma_P$ splitting the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{hor} \rightarrow \Gamma_P \xrightarrow{\sim} P \otimes \ker \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

i.e. $\sim \circ \sigma = id$. The connection form $\omega : A \rightarrow \Gamma_P$ is then given by

$$\omega(a) = \sigma(1 \otimes (a - \epsilon(a))). \quad (5)$$

Conversely, $\sigma(p \otimes a) = p\omega(a)$ for $p \otimes a \in P \otimes \ker \epsilon$.

In order to use a general calculus, the above definitions have to be augmented. As usual, the first – order calculus on A shall be determined by a right ideal $M_A \subset \ker \epsilon$ as $\Gamma_A = A^2/N_A$, where $N_A = \kappa(A \otimes M_A)$ and the map $\kappa : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is given by

$$\kappa(a \otimes a') = \sum a S a'_{(1)} \otimes a'_{(2)}, \quad (6)$$

where the Sweedler's notation [7] is employed.

Similarly on P , one assumes that the first – order differential structure Γ_P is a quotient of the universal one, $\Gamma_P = P^2/N_P$ where N_P is a sub-bimodule of P^2 . The calculus for higher orders is then uniquely determined. In order to have consistent calculi on P and A , the definition of a QPB is:

Definition 2.2 [1, Def 4.9] $P = P(B, A, N_P, M_A)$ is a quantum principal bundle with structure quantum group A , base B and quantum differential calculi defined by N_P, M_A if

1. A is a Hopf algebra

2. (P, Δ_R) is a right A - comodule algebra
3. $B = P^A = \{u \in P : \Delta_R u = u \otimes 1\}$
4. $(\cdot \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_R) : P \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes A$ is a surjection (freeness condition)
5. $\Delta_R N_P \subset N_P \otimes A$ (right covariance of differential structure).
6. $\sim(N_P) \subset P \otimes M_A$ (fundamental vector fields compatibility condition)
7. $\ker \sim_{N_P} = \Gamma_{hor}$ (exactness condition).

where $\sim_{N_P}$ is the map induced by $\sim$. A connection on a QPB with general calculus is again determined by a splitting σ of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{hor} \rightarrow \Gamma_P \xrightarrow{\sim_{N_P}} P \otimes \ker \epsilon /_{M_A} \rightarrow 0. \quad (7)$$

Point (6) may be replaced by the slightly stronger condition [1]

- 6.' $\sim(N_P) = P \otimes M_A$, which we will adopt in section (3)ff.

2.2 Dirac monopole with universal calculus

$SU_q(2)$ is generated as usual by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ with the commutation relations

$$\alpha\beta = q\beta\alpha, \quad \alpha\gamma = q\gamma\alpha, \quad \alpha\delta = \delta\alpha + (q - q^{-1})\beta\gamma,$$

$$\beta\gamma = \gamma\beta, \quad \beta\delta = q\delta\beta, \quad \gamma\delta = q\delta\gamma$$

and a determinant relation $\alpha\delta - q\beta\gamma = 1$. The $*$ -structure is $\alpha^* = \delta, \beta^* = -q\gamma$.

It is shown in [1] that $P^{(1)} = SU_q(2)$ and $P^{(2)} = SO_q(3)$ are QPB's with structure quantum group $A = k < Z^{1/2}, Z^{-1/2} > = U(1)$ resp. $A = k < Z, Z^{-1} > = U(1)$ ($k = \mathbb{C}$ in order to recover the classical monopole for $q = 1$), right coaction $\Delta_R : P \rightarrow P \otimes A$ defined by

$$\Delta_R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \otimes Z^{1/2} & \beta \otimes Z^{-1/2} \\ \gamma \otimes Z^{1/2} & \delta \otimes Z^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

and base $B = SU_q(2)^A = \langle 1, b_- = \alpha\beta, b_+ = \gamma\delta, b_3 = \alpha\delta \rangle$. $SO_q(3)$ is defined here as the even elements of $SU_q(2)$.

To describe Dirac monopoles with higher charges, we define a degree for a monomial in $SU_q(2)$ as follows:

$$\deg(\alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d) = a + c - b - d \quad (9)$$

irrespective of ordering. We shall show that the q -deformed Dirac monopole with (classical) charge $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is

$$P^{(n)} = \langle \{p \in SU_q(2), \deg(p) = nk, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rangle. \quad (10)$$

and $A^{(n)} = k \langle Z^{n/2}, Z^{-n/2} \rangle$. The superscript denotes the charge of the bundle. So monomials in $P^{(n)}$ have a degree which is an arbitrary multiple of n . For $n = 2$ we have $P^{(2)} = SO_q(3)$, in agreement with [1]. The coaction $\Delta_R : P^{(n)} \rightarrow P^{(n)} \otimes A^{(n)}$ is induced from the above as a star - algebra map and is compatible with the grading, and $B = P^{(n)A} = \langle \{p \in P^{(n)}, \deg(p) = 0\} \rangle = S_q^2$. Also note that the above star - structure carries over to $P^{(n)}$.

We do not have to introduce trivializations and "local" bundles here, this will be done in the second approach. However to motivate the above definitions, let us remark that e.g. $\Phi_0(Z^{\pm n/2}) = (\delta^{-1}\alpha)^{\pm n/2}$ will turn out to be a local trivialization (at least for $q = 1$); since locally $P = B \otimes A$, this gives the characterization above. In the classical limit, $A^{(n)}$ is nothing but the functions on $U(1)$ with n -th roots of unity identified, so the winding number of $P^{(n)}$ will just be n .

For $n \geq 2$, we can now show the following:

Proposition 2.3 $P^{(n)}(B, A^{(n)})$ is a QPB with universal calculus.

Proof

For condition 5. of definition 2.1, we see that $\ker \sim = \Gamma_{hor}^{(1)} \cap \Gamma_P^{(n)} = \Gamma_{hor}^{(n)}$, since $\deg(B) = 0$.

To show 4., consider the monomial $p \otimes Z^{nk/2} \in P^{(n)} \otimes A^{(n)} \subset P^{(1)} \otimes A^{(1)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $P^{(1)}$ is a QPB, there exists $\sum p_{i_1} \otimes p_{i_2} \in P^{(1)} \otimes P^{(1)}$ such that $\sim(\sum p_{i_1} \otimes p_{i_2}) = p \otimes Z^{nk/2}$. Now, $\sim(\sum p_{i_1} \otimes p_{i_2}) = \sum p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \otimes Z^{\deg(p_{i_2})/2}$. Therefore, $\deg(p_{i_2}) = nk$, and $p_{i_2} \in P^{(n)}$ for all i_2 . Also, $\deg(p_{i_1} p_{i_2}) = \deg(p)$ and $p \in P^{(n)}$, so $\deg(p_{i_1}) \in n\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $p_{i_1} \in P^{(n)}$ for all i_1 . Surjectivity is proved.

□

A possible connection one - form on $P^{(n)}$ is given by

$$\omega(Z^{kn/2}) = S((\alpha^{kn})_{(1)})d(\alpha^{kn})_{(2)} = \kappa(1 \otimes (\alpha^{kn} - 1)), \quad (11)$$

$$\omega(Z^{-kn/2}) = S((\delta^{kn})_{(1)})d(\delta^{kn})_{(2)} = \kappa(1 \otimes (\delta^{kn} - 1)) \quad (12)$$

for $k > 0$, where κ is defined in [1]. This ω is well - defined, since $S((\alpha^{kn})_{(1)})$, $S((\delta^{kn})_{(1)})$, $(\alpha^{kn})_{(2)}$, $(\delta^{kn})_{(2)} \in P^{(n)}$. This connection was found observing that this is the trivial connection [1] obtained from the trivialization $\Phi(Z^{n/2}) = \alpha^n$, which is a gauge - transformation of the trivialization (28) used in section 4. Note that the above trivialization does not respect the star - structure even for $q = 1$, nevertheless it is useful to e.g. find a connection; in the 3D - calculus, it simplifies to (18), and for even n , we would have obtained the same ω using (28). Quite generally, gauge - transformations tend to spoil the star - structure (and algebra - structure, as pointed out in [1]) of a trivialization.

To prove that ω defines a connection, we use Proposition 4.4 in [1]. We have to show

1. $\omega(1) = 0$
 2. $\sim\omega(a) = 1 \otimes a - 1 \otimes 1\epsilon(a)$ for all $a \in A$
 3. $\Delta_R \circ \omega = (\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \text{Ad}_R$
1. is obvious, 2. holds since for $k > 0$,

$$\sim\omega(Z^{kn/2}) = S((\alpha^{kn/2})_{(1)})(\alpha^{kn/2})_{(2)}^{(1)} \otimes (\alpha^{kn/2})_{(2)}^{(2)} - 1 \otimes 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= S((\alpha^{kn/2})_{(1)})(\alpha^{kn/2})_{(2)} \otimes Z^{kn/2} - 1 \otimes 1 \\
&= 1 \otimes (Z^{kn/2} - 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and similarly for $k < 0$ as is easily seen from our coaction. For 3.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_R \omega(Z^{kn/2}) &= S((\alpha^{kn/2})_{(1)}) d(\alpha^{kn/2})_{(2)} \otimes Z^{-kn/2} Z^{kn/2} \\
&= \omega(Z^{kn/2}) \otimes 1 = (\omega \otimes id) Ad_R(Z^{kn/2})
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and similarly for $k < 0$.

2.3 General Calculus

It is also shown in [1] that $P^{(1)}(B, A^{(1)}, N_P^{(1)}, M_A^{(1)})$ is a QPB with general calculus where $N_P^{(1)}$ defines the 3-D calculus on $SU_q(2)$, i.e. the right ideal $M_P^{(1)}$ is generated by the six elements

$$\delta + q^2 \alpha - (1 + q^2), \gamma^2, \beta \gamma, \beta^2, (\alpha - 1) \gamma, (\alpha - 1) \beta \tag{15}$$

and

$$M_A^{(1)} = \pi(M_P^{(1)}) = \langle \{Z^{-1/2} + q^2 Z^{1/2} - (1 + q^2)\} \rangle. \tag{16}$$

The projection $\pi : P \rightarrow A$ (dual of $U(1) \subset SU(2)$) is an algebra map

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & Z^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

For the general case, take $N_P^{(n)} = N_P^{(1)} \cap (P^{(n)})^2$, $M_A^{(n)}$ generated by $Z^{-n/2} + q^{2n} Z^{n/2} - (1 + q^{2n})$, i.e. $Z^{n/2} dZ^{n/2} = q^{2n} dZ^{n/2} Z^{n/2}$ or equivalently $N_A^{(n)} = N_A^{(1)} \cap (A^{(n)})^2$. Then we have:

Proposition 2.4 $P^{(n)}(B, A^{(n)}, N_P^{(n)}, M_A^{(n)})$ is a QPB.

Proof Conditions 1-4 of definition 2.2 are trivial as $P^{(n)}(B, A^{(n)})$ is a QPB. Condition 5 is obvious because of our simple Δ_R . Now, notice that $P^{(1)}(B, A^{(1)}, N_P^{(1)}, M_A^{(1)})$ is a QPB, so $\ker \sim_{N_P^{(n)}} = (\ker \sim_{N_P^{(1)}}) \cap \Gamma_P^{(n)} = \Gamma_{hor}^{(1)} \cap \Gamma_P^{(n)} \cap \Gamma_P^{(n)} = \Gamma_{hor}^{(n)}$ since $\deg(B) = 0$. For the same reason, we know that $\sim_{N_P^{(1)}} \subset P^{(1)} \otimes M_A^{(1)}$. Therefore, $\sim_{N_P^{(n)}} \subset P^{(1)} \otimes M_A^{(1)}$. But $\sim(p_1 dp_2) = p_1 p_2^{(1)} \otimes p_2^{(2)} - p_1 p_2 \otimes 1 \in P^{(n)} \otimes A^{(n)}$, for all $p_1, p_2 \in P^{(n)}$, so $\sim_{N_P^{(n)}} \subset P^{(n)} \otimes M_A^{(n)}$. Hence we see that $P^{(n)}(B, A^{(n)}, N_P^{(n)}, M_A^{(n)})$ is a QPB. Also, note that the 3D - calculus respects the star - structure [10]. $\square$

The above connection one - form ω defines also a connection on $P^{(n)}$ with our general calculus. The only thing that remains to be checked according to Proposition 4.10 of [1] is $\omega(M_A^{(n)}) = 0$. But this is clear since $\omega(Z^{-n/2} + q^{2n} Z^{n/2} - (1 + q^{2n})) = \kappa(1 \otimes (\delta^n + q^{2n} \alpha^n - (1 + q^{2n}))) \in \kappa(1 \otimes M_P^{(n)})$.

Thus, ω is a connection form on our bundle and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(Z^{kn/2}) &= [kn]_{q^{-2}} \omega^1, \\ \omega(Z^{-kn/2}) &= -[-kn]_{q^{-2}} \omega^1 = -q^{2kn} \omega(Z^{kn/2}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

if viewed in $SU_q(2)$, where $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$. This generalizes the result of [1] for $n = 1$ and 2. Since $(\omega^1)^* = -\omega^1$, ω is a star - map for $q = 1$ only. We have used $\omega^1 \alpha = q^{-2} \alpha \omega^1, \omega^1 \gamma = q^{-2} \gamma \omega^1$, where $\omega^1 = \delta d\alpha - q^{-1} \beta d\gamma$ is a left invariant form in $SU_q(2)$.

To our knowledge, (10) is also a new description of the classical Dirac monopole.

3 Combining patches to bundles

Let us now show how nontrivial QPB's can be obtained by "glueing" together "local" bundles. To avoid repeating ourselves too much, we will give the following statements for the case of a general calculus only; the universal calculus is recovered by putting $M_A = N_A = N_P = 0$. We first observe that

the conditions 4. and 7. in definition 2.2 are equivalent to the exactness of the sequence (7). Γ_P .

Lemma 3.1 *$P(B, A, N_P, M_A)$ satisfying conditions 1. to 3., 5. and 6.' of the definition 2.2 is a QPB with general calculus if and only if the sequence (7) is exact.*

Proof Exactness of (7) at Γ_P is just the condition 7. above.

Assume first P is a QPB. Then by condition 4. , for any $p \otimes a \in P \otimes \ker \epsilon / M_A$ there exists $p_1 \otimes p_2 \in P \otimes P$ with $\sim(p_1 \otimes p_2) = p_1 p_2^1 \otimes p_2^2 = p \otimes a$. Applying $\text{id} \otimes \epsilon$ to this equation we get $0 = p_1 p_2^1 \epsilon(p_2^2) = p_1 p_2$, i.e. $p_1 \otimes p_2 \in \Gamma_P$, which shows that $\sim$ in (7) is surjective, so it is exact.

Conversely, suppose (7) is exact. Take any $p \otimes a = p \otimes (a - \epsilon(a)) + p \otimes \epsilon(a) \in P \otimes A$. Since $\sim_{N_P}$ is surjective, there exists $p_1 dp_2 \in \Gamma_P$ with $\sim_{N_P}(p_1 dp_2) = p \otimes (a - \epsilon(a)) + P \otimes M_A$. Now $\sim(p \otimes \epsilon(a)) = p \otimes \epsilon(a)$ and from 6'. $\sim(N_P) = P \otimes M_A$, so condition 4. is satisfied. $\square$

Assume now we have 3 quantum principal bundles $P_0(B_0, A, N_0, M_A)$, $P_1(B_1, A, N_1, M_A) \subset P_{01}(B_{01}, A, N_{01}, M_A)$ (P_{01} corresponds to the bundle on the "overlap" B_{01} of B_0 and B_1) and we would like to know if P_0 and P_1 can be understood as two patches of a "global" quantum bundle $P(B, A, N, M_A) \subset P_0, P_1 \subset P_{01}$. A natural guess is that $P = P_0 \cap P_1$. In this case the coactions $\Delta_{R_i} : P_i \rightarrow P_i \otimes A$ certainly must agree in P . If we want a connection on P , then we should also have connection forms $\omega_i : A \rightarrow \Gamma_{P_i}$ which agree on the overlap, i.e. $\omega_0(a) = \omega_1(a)$ in $\Gamma_{P_{01}}$.

However, some care must be taken if we want to compare differential forms on different patches. First of all, the differential structures on P_i must be compatible, i.e. we should have $N_0 = N_{01} \cap P_0^2$, $N_1 = N_{01} \cap P_1^2$ and $N_P \equiv N = N_{01} \cap P^2 = N_0 \cap N_1$. But this is not enough: Suppose we have any 2 differential forms – not necessarily connections – $\omega_0 \in \Gamma_{P_0}$ and $\omega_1 \in \Gamma_{P_1}$ and find by doing calculations in $\Gamma_{P_{01}}$ that they are equal. One would certainly like to conclude, as in the classical case, that they determine a

"global" form ω in Γ_P . This is not evident, it is a condition on the calculus. It motivates the following definition: The above calculi on P_0, P_1, P_{01} are called *admissible* if

$$\omega_0 = \omega_1 + n_{01} \quad \text{for} \quad \omega_i \in \Gamma_{P_i} \quad (19)$$

implies that there exists a $\omega \in \Gamma_P$ such that

$$\omega = \omega_0 + n_0 = \omega_1 + n_1, \quad n_i \in N_i. \quad (20)$$

In other words, $\omega_0 = \omega_1$ determines a $\omega \in \Gamma_{P_0} \cap \Gamma_{P_1} = \Gamma_P$, where the intersection is defined as intersection of the cosets.

A calculus which does not satisfy this condition would be highly unpracticable for global statements. The universal calculus is certainly admissible since $(P_0 \otimes P_0) \cap (P_1 \otimes P_1) = P \otimes P$ implies $\Gamma_{P_0} \cap \Gamma_{P_1} = \Gamma_P$. The calculus we will consider on the monopole – bundle will be shown to be admissible too, using a fairly general line of reasoning.

Theorem 3.2 *In the above situation, $P = P_0 \cap P_1 = P(B, A, N, M_A)$ is a quantum principal bundle with base $B = B_0 \cap B_1$ and connection if we have admissible differential structures which satisfy $\sim(N) = P \otimes M_A$, connection forms $\omega_0 = \omega_1$ on P_0 resp. P_1 , and $\Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor} = \Gamma_{hor}$. Conversely, if $P = P_0 \cap P_1$ is a quantum principal bundle, then $\Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor} = \Gamma_{hor}$.*

Proof First, $\Delta_R(p) \in P_0 \otimes A \cap P_1 \otimes A = P \otimes A$ for $p \in P$ implies 2. in Def 2.1. Further, $B = P^A = (P_0 \cap P_1)^A = P_0^A \cap P_1^A = B_0 \cap B_1$. By the above definition of the differential structures condition 5. is satisfied, since $\Delta_{R_i} : P_i \rightarrow P_i \otimes A$ do not "leave" the bundles.

Assume $\Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor} = \Gamma_{hor}$. Since $\omega_0(a) = \omega_1(a)$ and the calculus is admissible, this defines $\omega(a) \in \Gamma_P$ and $\sigma(p \otimes a) = p\omega(a) \in \Gamma_P$ for $(p \otimes a) \in P \otimes \ker \epsilon$. From proposition 4.10 in [1] it follows that ω is a connection 1 – form. Now $\sim \sigma(p \otimes a) = p \otimes a$ shows that the map $\sim$ in (7) is surjective.

It remains to show $\ker \sim = \Gamma_{hor}$. Let $p_1 dp_2 \in \Gamma_P$. Since P_0 and P_1 are quantum bundles, $\sim(p_1 dp_2) = 0$ implies $p_1 dp_2 \in \Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor} = \Gamma_{hor}$ by

assumption. Now Lemma 3.1 tells us that $P(B, A, N, M_A)$ is a quantum principal bundle.

Conversely, assume $P = P_0 \cap P_1$ is a quantum principal bundle. Let $p_1 dp_2 \in \Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor}$. Then $\sim(p_1 dp_2) = 0$. Since $\Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor} \subset \Gamma_{P_0} \cap \Gamma_{P_1} = \Gamma_P$ and P is a QPB, this implies $p_1 dp_2 \in \Gamma_{hor}$. The other inclusion $\Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor} \supset \Gamma_{hor}$ is trivial. $\square$

explicitly. If there are several "patches" P_i , then the above theorem generalizes inductively in an obvious way. One can show that if $\sim(N_P) = P \otimes M_A$, then $\Gamma_{0hor} \cap \Gamma_{1hor} = \Gamma_{hor}$ follows from $\Gamma_{0hor}^u \cap \Gamma_{1hor}^u = \Gamma_{hor}^u$ (universal calculus). More generally, we have

Lemma 3.3 *If $P(B, A)$ is a QPB with universal calculus and we have N_P and M_A satisfying conditions 5. and 6'. of definition 2.2, then $P(B, A, N_P, M_A)$ is a QPB with general calculus. Conversely, if $P(B, A, N_P, M_A)$ is a QPB and $\sim(n) = 0$ for $n \in N_P$ implies $n \in \Gamma_{hor}^u$, then $P(B, A)$ is a QPB with universal calculus.*

Proof First suppose $P(B, A)$ is a QPB; we have to show that $\ker \sim_{N_P} \subset \Gamma_{hor}$. Let $\sim_{N_P}(\gamma) = 0$. This means $\sim(\gamma) \in P \otimes M_A = \sim(N_P)$ by 6'. . So there is a $n \in N_P$ with $\sim(n - \gamma) = 0$. But P is a QPB with universal calculus, so it follows $\gamma \in \Gamma_{hor}^u + n$, i.e. $\gamma \in \Gamma_{hor}$.

The converse statement can be proved similarly. $\square$

4 Dirac Monopoles by Patching Two Trivial QPBs

We can now present the second construction of the Dirac monopoles for general calculus as an illustration of the general method above. This will be done for even "charge" only; for odd charge, the trivializations etc. would only be formal.

We define two trivial QPBs $P_0^{(2n)}$ and $P_1^{(2n)}$, and then show that $P^{(2n)} = P_0^{(2n)} \cap P_1^{(2n)}$ is the monopole of charge $2n$.

For $P_0^{(2n)}$, as motivated by the charge 2 case in [1], we now try to define the base B_0 , fiber $A^{(2n)}$ and trivial bundle $P_0^{(2n)}$ be specified by their generators as:

$$B_0 = \langle \{1, b_-, b_+, b_3, (b_3 + q^{2m} - 1)^{-1}; \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rangle, \quad (21)$$

$$A^{(2n)} = \langle \{Z^n, Z^{-n}\} \rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$P_0^{(2n)} = \langle B_0 \cup \{(\delta^{-1}\alpha)^n, (\alpha^{-1}\delta)^n\} \rangle. \quad (23)$$

We are going to show that they give a trivial QPB.

The commutation relations between the generators of P_0 are induced by $SU_q(2)$ through the following expressions [6]:

$$b_- = \alpha\beta, \quad b_+ = \gamma\delta, \quad b_3 = \alpha\delta, \quad (24)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are generators of $SU_q(2)$ with the well-known relations stated before. The commutation relations involving inverses are obtained by multiplying them from both sides by inverses of generators.

In the classical limit $q = 1$, B_0 becomes the algebra of the functions on $S^2 \setminus \{\text{south pole}\}$, and $b_{\pm} = \pm(x \pm iy)$, $b_3 = z + 1/2$, where x, y, z are the Cartesian coordinates. Note that $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$ is equivalent to $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = (1/2)^2$. The somewhat complicated definition here (see [2]) will become clear below. $P_0^{(2n)}$ as a trivial bundle is generated by the base B_0 and the fibers, cp. (28).

Define a coaction Δ_R on $P_0^{(2n)}$ such that $B_0 = (P_0^{(2n)})^{A^{(2n)}}$:

$$\Delta_R(1) = 1 \otimes 1, \quad (25)$$

$$\Delta_R(b_i) = b_i \otimes 1, \quad i = -, +, 3, \quad (26)$$

$$\Delta_R((\delta^{-1}\alpha)^{\pm n}) = (\delta^{-1}\alpha)^{\pm n} \otimes Z^{\pm n}. \quad (27)$$

The trivialization Φ_0 is defined as

$$\Phi_0(1) = 1, \quad \Phi_0(Z^{\pm n}) = (\delta^{-1}\alpha)^{\pm n} \quad (28)$$

which generalizes the trivialization in [1]. To see that we have a trivial QPB, we first have to show that B_0 is the invariant subalgebra of $P_0^{(2n)}$ under the above coaction. This is clear if any $p_0 \in P_0^{(2n)}$ can be written as a sum of terms $B_0(\delta^{-1}\alpha)^{kn}$. Thus we must be able to commute B_0 through $(\delta^{-1}\alpha)$. Writing down the commutation relations explicitly, one can always obtain relations like $\alpha B_0 \alpha^{-1} \in B_0$. Note that for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q^{-2m} \alpha^{-m} b_3^{-1} \alpha^m = q^{-2m} \delta^m b_3^{-1} \delta^{-m} = (b_3 + q^{2m} - 1)^{-1}$ (cp. [2]) and so in general,

$$q^{-4nk} (\alpha^{-1} \delta)^{nk} (b_3 + q^{2m} - 1)^{-1} (\delta^{-1} \alpha)^{nk} = (b_3 + q^{4nk+2m} - 1)^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (29)$$

This shows that B_0 , as defined in (21) is the invariant subalgebra, and one can also see the necessity to include all the generators of B_0 .

Φ_0 is convolution-invertible with $\Phi_0^{-1}(Z^{\pm n}) = (\delta^{-1}\alpha)^{\mp n}$, and is also an intertwiner: $\Delta_R \circ \Phi_0 = (\Phi_0 \otimes id) \circ \Delta_A$, where $\Delta_A(Z^n) = Z^n \otimes Z^n$ is the coproduct on $A^{(2n)}$. So $P_0^{(2n)}$ is a trivial QPB.

Below we will need the following alternative representation of $P_0^{(2n)}$:

$$P_0^{(2n)} = \{p \in < SU_q(2) \cup \{(\delta\alpha)^{-1}, (\alpha\delta)^{-1}\} > : \deg(p) = 2kn, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \equiv \tilde{P}_0^{(2n)}, \quad (30)$$

i.e. the algebra generated by $SU_q(2)$ and $(\delta\alpha)^{-1}, (\alpha\delta)^{-1}$, with degrees being multiples of $2n$. To see this, note that $P_0^{(2n)} \subset \tilde{P}_0^{(2n)}$ because $\delta^{-1}\alpha = (\alpha\delta)^{-1}\alpha^2$ etc. and $b_3^{-1} = (\alpha\delta)^{-1}$, so $\alpha^{-n} b_3^{-1} \alpha^n \in \tilde{P}_0^{(2n)}$ also. To see the other inclusion, we first show that B_0 is also the invariant subalgebra (under the coaction of $A^{(2n)}$) of $\tilde{P}_0^{(2n)}$: we have just seen $B_0 \subset \tilde{P}_0^{(2n)}$, and the same commutation relations as above show that indeed $B_0 = (\tilde{P}_0^{(2n)})^{A^{(2n)}}$. But this means that $\tilde{P}_0^{(2n)}$ is a QPB with the same trivialization Φ_0 as above. Thus we know (from [1] Example 4.2) that $\tilde{P}_0^{(2n)} = B_0 \Phi_0(A^{(2n)}) = P_0^{(2n)}$.

The discussion on $P_1^{(2n)}$ is parallel to that on $P_0^{(2n)}$, but much easier. Therefore we just give the relevant equations:

$$B_1 = < \{1, b_-, b_+, b_3, (b_3 - 1)^{-1}\} >, \quad (31)$$

$$P_1^{(2n)} = < B_1 \cup \{(\gamma\beta^{-1})^n, (\beta\gamma^{-1})^n\} >, \quad (32)$$

$$A^{(2n)} = \langle \{Z^n, Z^{-n}\} \rangle, \quad (33)$$

$$\triangle_R(b_i) = b_i \otimes 1, \quad i = -, +, 3, \quad (34)$$

$$\triangle_R((\gamma\beta^{-1})^{\pm n}) = (\gamma\beta^{-1})^{\pm n} \otimes Z^{\pm n}, \quad (35)$$

$$\Phi_1(Z^{\pm n}) = (-\gamma\beta^{-1})^{\pm n} \quad (36)$$

and $P_1^{(2n)}$ is also a trivial QPB. Note again that $\deg(B_1) = 0$ and $\deg(\Phi_i(Z^n)) = 2n$.

The "overlap" $P_{01}^{(2n)}$ of $P_0^{(2n)}$ and $P_1^{(2n)}$ is similarly defined by

$$B_{01} = \langle B_0 \cup \{(b_3 - 1)^{-1}\} \rangle, \quad (37)$$

$$P_{01}^{(2n)} = \langle B_{01} \cup \{(\gamma\beta^{-1})^{\pm n}, (\delta^{-1}\alpha)^{\pm n}\} \rangle \quad (38)$$

and so on as above. On $P_{01}^{(2n)}$, both trivializations can be used, with the transition function

$$\gamma_{01}(Z^n) = \Phi_0(Z^n)\Phi_1^{-1}(Z^n) = (-q^2b_3^{-1}b_-^2(b_3 - 1)^{-1})^n \in B_{01}. \quad (39)$$

It should be noted that while these trivial bundles are closed under the star - operation, the maps Φ_i respect this star - structure only for $q = 1$. This appears to be very hard to avoid in this framework, and we accept it here.

Now define the Dirac - monopole bundle with charge $2n$ by

$$P^{(2n)} = P_0^{(2n)} \cap P_1^{(2n)}. \quad (40)$$

We will now show that for even charges this construction agrees with the one in section 2. First, we prove

Proposition 4.1

$$P^{(2n)} = \langle p \in SU_q(2) : \deg(p) = 2nk, k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle. \quad (41)$$

Proof Let $p_0, p_1 \in P_0^{(2n)}$ resp. $P_1^{(2n)}$ and $p_0 = p_1$. Note that $\beta, \beta^{-1}, \gamma, \gamma^{-1}$ can be commuted through any terms by just picking up powers of q . Multiplying α^{-1}, δ^{-1} to the relation $\alpha\delta = \delta\alpha + (q-1)(\frac{q+1}{q})\beta\gamma$ appropriately from both sides, one gets relations like $\delta\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}\delta + (q-1)(\dots)$ and $\alpha^{-1}\delta^{-1} = \delta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} + (q-1)(\dots)$, i.e. *one can order thing in any way up to terms proportional to $(q-1)$.*

Let us define a *normal form* for p_1 as follows: bring all β, γ to the right of all α, δ and order α to the left of δ , picking up terms proportional to $(q-1)$. Then replace all terms $\alpha\delta$ by $(1+q\beta\gamma)$. Putting γ to the right of β , p_1 finally has the form either $\alpha^n\beta^x\gamma^y + (q-1)(\dots)$, $\delta^n\beta^x\gamma^y + (q-1)(\dots)$ or $\beta^x\gamma^y + (q-1)(\dots)$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly, define a *normal form* for p_0 as follows: bring all β, γ to the right of all α, δ , order β to the left of γ and replace all terms $\beta\gamma$ by $(\alpha\delta - 1)/q$. Now order α to the left of δ picking up terms prop. to $(q-1)$. p_0 finally has the form either $\alpha^x\delta^y\beta^n + (q-1)(\dots)$, $\alpha^x\delta^y\gamma^n + (q-1)(\dots)$ or $\alpha^x\delta^y + (q-1)(\dots)$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now consider the equation

$$p_0 = p_1. \tag{42}$$

and put terms in p_1 which do not contain inverses to the left side, in normal form for p_0 (only for monomials which are not proportional to $(q-1)$, say). Then let $q = 1$ and consider both sides as classical functions on $SU(2)$. All terms proportional to $(q-1)$ vanish, and all remaining monomials are in normal form on both sides and are easily seen to be independent as functions on $SU(2)$. This implies that all coefficients are actually zero, i.e. all terms on both sides are proportional to $(q-1)$. (or simply: classical functions defined on both patches are defined globally on $SU(2)$). We can now cancel the greatest common power of $(q-1)$, put regular terms to the left and apply the same argument. This cannot go on forever since the right side can be ordered completely, so both sides must be zero eventually, proving that $p_0 = p_1 \in SU_q(2)$. Using (30), this immediately shows that

$$P^{(2n)} = \langle p \in SU_q(2) : \deg(p) = 2nk, k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle, \tag{43}$$

as claimed. $\square$

The essence of the proof is to write things in the form ("class") + $(q - 1)$ ("quantum") and to apply classical reasoning to ("class"), which should be a fairly general strategy. Proposition 4.1 and (30) generalize the result of [1] for $n = 1$.

We can now introduce the same induced 3-D calculus on the bundles as in section 2, i.e. the calculus on the patches $P_i^{(2n)}$ is defined by

$$N_{P_i}^{(2n)} = P_i^{(2n)} N_P^{(2n)} P_i^{(2n)}, \quad (44)$$

with the same ideals as in section 2. Using $\sim = (id \otimes \pi)\kappa^{-1}$ in a Hopf algebra one can easily see $\sim(N_P^{(1)}) = P^{(1)} \otimes M_A^{(1)}$, and $\sim(N_P^{(2n)}) = P^{(n)} \otimes M_A^{(2n)}$ with a similar argument as in section 2. So $P_i^{(n)}$ are trivial QPB with this calculus by example (4.11) in [1].

It was already shown in section 2 that

$$\omega(Z^{kn}) = S((\alpha^{2kn})_{(1)})d(\alpha^{2kn})_{(2)} = \kappa(1 \otimes (\alpha^{2kn} - 1)), \quad (45)$$

$$\omega(Z^{-kn}) = S((\delta^{2kn})_{(1)})d(\delta^{2kn})_{(2)} = \kappa(1 \otimes (\delta^{2kn} - 1)) \quad (46)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ defines a connection one - form. Any monomials of degree $2nk$ in $P_i^{(2n)}$ can be written in the form $\Phi_i(Z^{2nk})B$ or $B\Phi_i(Z^{2nk})$, and so one can put ω in the standard form of a connection one-form in $P_0^{(2n)}$ and $P_1^{(2n)}$:

$$\omega(a) = \Phi_i^{-1}(a)\beta_i(a)\Phi_i(a) + \Phi_i^{-1}(a)d\Phi_i(a); i = 0, 1; a \in A^{(2n)}, \quad (47)$$

where $\beta_i \in \Gamma_{ihor}^{(2n)}$ and $\beta_i(1) = 0$.

Now let us show the following:

Proposition 4.2 *The calculus on $P^{(2n)}, P_0^{(2n)}, P_1^{(2n)}$ is admissible (defined by (19), (20)).*

Proof The reasoning is as in the previous proposition. Assume we have ω_0, ω_1 in $\Gamma_{P_0}^{(2n)}$ resp. $\Gamma_{P_1}^{(2n)}$ with $\omega_0 = \omega_1$ in $\Gamma_{P_{01}}^{(2n)}$. Since in the 3D - calculus all one - forms on $SU_q(2)$ and thus on $P_i^{(2n)}$ can be written in terms of three left - invariant Maurer - Cartan forms $\omega^0, \omega^1, \omega^2$ which have simple commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^0\alpha &= q^{-1}\alpha\omega^0, & \omega^0\beta &= q\beta\omega^0, \\ \omega^1\alpha &= q^{-2}\alpha\omega^1, & \omega^1\beta &= q^2\beta\omega^1, \\ \omega^2\alpha &= q^{-1}\alpha\omega^2, & \omega^2\beta &= q\beta\omega^2.\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

and similarly with the inverses α^{-1} etc., we can commute the forms to the right and have $\omega_0 = f_k\omega^k$, $\omega_1 = g_k\omega^k$ (summation implied), so

$$f_k\omega^k = g_k\omega^k.\tag{49}$$

As in proposition 4.1 put both f_k and g_k in their respective normal form ("class") + $(q-1)$ ("quant") and bring all regular terms of g_k to the left side. Then putting $q = 1$, the "classical" parts are all independent as one - forms since the ω_i are and therefore vanish. Cancelling $(q-1)$ and repeating the argument, it follows that ω_0 and ω_1 are elements of $\Gamma_P^{(1)}$ and in fact in $\Gamma_P^{(2n)}$, since the degree is conserved. $\square$

Now we can use theorem 3.2: suppose $\rho \in \Gamma_{0hor}^{(2n)} \cap \Gamma_{1hor}^{(2n)}$, so $\rho \in \Gamma_P^{(2n)}$. We can expand it as above

$$\rho = f_0\omega^0 + f_1\omega^1 + f_2\omega^2,\tag{50}$$

with $f_i \in P^{(2n)}$. But ω^0 and ω^2 are horizontal (explicitely: $\omega^0 = \delta^2 db_- + q^{-2}\beta^2 db_+ - q^{-1}(1+q^{-2})\beta\delta db_3$ and $\omega^2 = -\gamma^2 db_- - q^{-2}\alpha^2 db_+ + q^{-1}(1+q^{-2})\alpha\gamma db_3$), while ω^1 is not. Therefore $f_1 = 0$, and $\rho \in \Gamma_{hor}^{(2n)}$, since all coefficients of dB must have degree $2n$. So $P^{(2n)}$ is a QPB with a general differential calculus, with the same connection form ω restricted to elements $a \in A^{(2n)}$.

Finally we would like to mention that since the trivializations are not "real" for $q \neq 1$, one might just go ahead and use trivializations such as

$\Phi(Z^{1/2}) = \alpha$ which do not respect the star - structure even for $q = 1$, at least as computational tools. Since we know that the "global" bundle with the star - structure does have the correct classical limit, this may be an acceptable and useful strategy, and deserves further consideration.

5 Concluding Remarks

5.1 A note on gauge transformations

A gauge transformation is a convolution invertible map $\gamma : A \rightarrow B$:

$$\gamma * \gamma^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} * \gamma = 1. \quad (51)$$

Let us define the "primitive charge" of a monomial in B as $(n_- - n_+)$, where $n_{\pm}$ are the total powers of $b_{\pm}$ appearing in the monomial or equivalently (power of α - power of δ). This is preserved by the commutation relations, as our previous degree. Suppose that $\gamma = \sum_{k=i}^j \gamma^{(k)}$, where each $\gamma^{(k)}$ contains only monomials that have primitive charge k . Hence i and j are the minimum and maximum of the primitive charges of all monomials in γ . Let the convolution inverse of γ be denoted in the same way: $\gamma^{-1} = \sum_{k=i'}^{j'} \gamma^{(k)}$. So

$$1 = \epsilon(Z^{n/2}) \cdot 1 = \gamma * \gamma^{-1} = \sum_{k=i+i'}^{j+j'} \gamma^{(k)} \quad (52)$$

which implies that $i + i' = j + j' = 0$. The only possibility that this can be true is that $i = j = -i' = -j'$, which means that all monomials in γ have the same primitive charge n . However, this means in the classical limit that γ is proportional to $e^{in\phi}$. That is, by admitting only finite sums in a convolution invertible γ one is restricting oneself to a very special, rigid class of gauge transformations. Thus infinite series cannot be avoided in general.

5.2 Remarks on the Chern Class

Classically, the monopole charge n is given by an integration over the base of the first Chern class

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^2} F = n,$$

where $F = dA_+ = dA_-$. Here A_+, A_- are the connection form on the northern and southern hemisphere respectively and the global connection form is given in terms of trivialisations as

$$\omega = \begin{cases} A_+ + id\varphi_+, & \text{on } H_+ \\ A_- + id\varphi_-, & \text{on } H_- \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

with $e^{i\varphi_{+,-}}$ being the local trivialization.

In the deformed case, we have the global connection form ω . Suppose it is written in terms of trivialization as [1]

$$\omega = \phi_i^{-1} \beta_i \phi_i + \phi_i^{-1} d\phi_i,$$

then it is not hard to check that

$$d\omega = \phi_i^{-1} (d\beta_i + \beta_i \beta_i) \phi_i = d\omega + \omega \omega, \quad (54)$$

which is in fact the curvature 2-form on P ([5], cp. [1]). Carrying the ϕ_i through the $d\omega$, we get

$$d\beta_i + \beta_i \beta_i = q^{2n} d\omega = q^{2n} [n]_{q^{-2}} d\omega^1 \quad (55)$$

which is again equal for the two patches and explicetely horizontal. It leads us to define the deformed Chern class as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} F = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (d\beta_i + \beta_i \beta_i) = \frac{q^{2n}}{2\pi i} [n]_{q^{-2}} d\omega^1. \quad (56)$$

Consider the base $B = S_q^2 = \langle b_+, b_-, b_3 \rangle \subset SU_q(2)$, with the calculus inherited from the 3-D calculus on $SU_q(2)$. Denote $\Gamma_B = BdB$ and introduce

the set $\Gamma_B^{\wedge^2}$ of 2 forms on B . Notice that $\Gamma_B^{\wedge^2}$ contains elements of the form $Bdb_idb_j, i, j = -, +, 3$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} db_+ &= \gamma^2 \omega^0 - q^2 \delta^2 \omega^2, \\ db_- &= \alpha^2 \omega^0 - q^2 \beta^2 \omega^2, \\ db_3 &= \alpha \gamma \omega^0 - q^2 \beta \delta \omega^2. \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

So, $\Gamma_B^{\wedge^2} = B\omega^0\omega^2 = Bd\omega^1$. Because $d\omega^1$ is a central element in B_{01} , under a gauge transformation $U \in B_{01}$ we have $F \rightarrow U^{-1}FU = F$.

Notice that $\omega^0\omega^2$ is manifestly left invariant under the coaction of $SU_q(2)$, and is the unique top 2-form on B . This allow us to introduce a linear functional

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B : \Gamma_B^{\wedge^2} &\rightarrow C, \\ \int_{S_q^2} ad\omega^1 &= 2\pi i \langle a \rangle_{SU_q(2)}, \forall a \in B, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where $\langle \rangle_{SU_q(2)}$ is the invariant "Haar" measure on $SU_q(2)$ [9]. This integral is obviously left- and right- invariant under the coaction of $SO_q(3)$ and unique as such. The normalization is choosen to give the correct classical limit. Classically, $d\omega^1 = i/2d\Omega$.

Therefore the deformed monopole charge is obtained as in the classical case

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S_q^2} F = \frac{q^{2n}}{2\pi i} \int_{S_q^2} [n]_{q^{-2}} d\omega^1 = q^{2n} [n]_{q^{-2}}. \tag{59}$$

This is actually gauge - invariant in the sense that it does not depend on the trivialization chosen, but this appears to be the case only for our particular connection.

6 Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to Prof. Bruno Zumino for many useful discussions, encouragement and support, in particular for drawing our attention to this problem. We also thank Arne Schirrmacher for suggestions,

and T. Brzezinski for sending us [2]. This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098 and in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY-90-21139.

References

- [1] T. Brzezinski and S. Majid, "Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces" *Comm. Math. Phys.* 157, 591 (1993)
- [2] T. Brzezinski and S. Majid, Erratum for "Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces" *Comm. Math. Phys.* 157, 591 (1993)
- [3] M. Pflaum, "Quantum groups on Fibre Bundles". Preprint-Nr. gk-mp-9403/1, (1994)
- [4] R. Budzynski, W. Kondracki, "Quantum Principal Fiber Bundles: Topological Aspects *Preprint* hep-th/9401019 (1994)
- [5] P.M. Hajac, "Strong connections and $U_q(2)$ - Yang - Mills Theory on Quantum Principal Bundles" *Dissertation*, Berkeley (1994)
- [6] P.Podles, "Quantum Spheres".*Lett.Math.Phys.*,14:193-202,(1987).
- [7] M.E.Sweedler, Hopf algebras. *Benjamin*, (1969).
- [8] S.L. Woronowicz, "Twisted $SU(2)$ group, an example of a non-commutative differential calculus." *Publ. RIMS (Kyoto)*,23:117-181,(1987).

- [9] S.L. Woronowicz, "Compact Matrix Pseudogroups". *Comm. Math. Phys.* 111, 613 (1987)
- [10] S.L. Woronowicz, "Differential Calculus on Compact Matrix Pseudogroups". *Comm. Math. Phys.* 122, 125 (1989)